

United States Patent and Trademark Office

em

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/542,783	04/04/2000	John Whitman	4294US(98-1208)	6870
7590 10/31/2006			EXAMINER	
Brick G Power			KEBEDE, BROOK	
Trask Britt & R	ossa			
P O Box 2550			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Salt Lake City, UT 84102			2823	

DATE MAILED: 10/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/542,783	WHITMAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Brook Kebede	2823			
The MAILING DATE of this communica Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) did if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thin yop period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>25 September 2006</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	☑ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	·	•			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-87 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) 18-87 is/are v 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restrictio	vithdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the E					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a					
Applicant may not request that any objection					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to be					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internationa * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A the priority documents have beer I Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)		0.000			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2823

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed in this application after a decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, but before the filing of a Notice of Appeal to the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit or the commencement of a civil action. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the appeal has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114 and prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicants' submission filed on September 25, 2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 1-9, 11, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kikuchi et al. (US/6,278,153) in view of Yoshihara (US/6,117,486).

Re claims 1 and 3-7, Kikuchi et al. disclose a method for disposing a material on a semiconductor device structure comprising: providing a semiconductor device structure (see Fig. 6D) including a surface (23 24 25 26) and at least one recess (23a) formed in the surface; disposing the material (20) on at least a portion of the surface (23 24 25 26) so as to substantially fill at least one recess (23a) and the material (20) covering the surface having a thickness less than a depth of said at least one recess (23a) without subsequently removing the material (20) from the surface, an upper surface said material (20) being appear substantially planar (23 24 25 26) (see Figs. 6A-6D; 10A-10E and 13A-13E;).

However, Kikuchi et al. do not specifically disclose with certainty the upper surface portion of the material within the recess substantially planar.

Yoshihara discloses applying the material to the surface of the semiconductor device structure spinning the semiconductor device structure both decreasing rate of spinning and while allowing the material to cure gradually increasing the rate of spinning; exposing the material to a soft balling temperature; spinning rate of 1000 and 100 rpm (see Figs. 10 and Col. 13, lines 25-44). As Yoshihara discloses the method provided forming of resist film on the semiconductor wafer at predetermined and uniform thickness.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant(s) claimed invention was made to have provided Kikuchi et al. reference with spinning the semiconductor device structure both decreasing rate of spinning and while allowing the material to cure gradually increasing the rate of spinning as taught by Yoshihara because the

Application/Control Number: 09/542,783

Art Unit: 2823

method would have provided to form a resist film on the semiconductor wafer at predetermined and uniform thickness (i.e., it is analogous to substantially planar).

Re claim 2, as applied to claim 1 above, Kikuchi et al. and Yoshihara in combination disclose all the claimed limitations including disposing the material so as to substantially fill the at least one recess without substantially covering said surface (see Figs. 6A-6D; 10A-10E and 13A-13E;).

Re claim 8, as applied to claim 1 above, Kikuchi et al. and Yoshihara in combination disclose all the claimed limitations including upon exposing the material disposed over an entirety of said semiconductor device structure to an etchant, the material covering said surface is substantially removed therefrom, while the material located in said at least one recess substantially fills said at least one recess (see Figs. 6A-6D; 10A-10E and 13A-13E).

Re claim 9, as applied to claim 1 above, Kikuchi et al. Yoshihara in combination disclose all the claimed limitations including the limitation wherein said providing said semiconductor device structure comprises providing a stacked capacitor structure with said at least one recess comprising at least one container formed in an insulator layer of said stacked capacitor structure, said surface and said at least one container being lined with a conductive material (see Figs. 6A-6D; 10A-10E; 13A-13E)

Re claim 11 as applied to claim 1 above, Kikuchi et al. and Yoshihara in combination disclose all the claimed limitations including the limitation wherein said disposing the material comprises disposing a mask material over said semiconductor device structure (see Fig. 6A-6D; 10A-10E; 13A-13E).

Re claims 16 and 17, as applied to claim 1 above, Kikuchi et al. and Yoshihara in combination disclose all the claimed limitations including the limitation providing a

Application/Control Number: 09/542,783

Art Unit: 2823

semiconductor device structure having a surface with at least one dual damascene trench recessed therein and a layer of conductive material, with a non-planar surface disposed in said at least one dual damascene trench add at least partially covering sand surface and disposing a stress buffer over said layer of conductive material, said stress buffer having a substantially planar surface without removing material thereof following said disposing (see Figs. 14A-14D).

4. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kikuchi et al. (US/6,278,153) and Yoshihara (US/6,117,486), as applied in Paragraph 3 above, and further in view of Lin et al. (US/6,046,083).

Re claim 10, as applied to claim 9 above, Kikuchi et al. and Yoshihara in combination disclose all the claimed limitations including forming of stacked capacitor structure having conductive layer. Although it is well-known in the art Kikuchi et al. do not disclose doped HSG.

Lin et al. disclose providing said semiconductor device structure having a stacked capacitor structure with the surface and at least one container being lined, with doped hemispherical grain polysilicon (see Figs. 7 and 8).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant(s) claimed invention was made to have provided Kikuchi et al. and Yoshihara in reference with doped HSG as taught by Lin et al. because the device performance would have been enhanced (see Lin et al. Col. 1, lines 59-67 through Col. 2, lines 1-14).

5. Claims 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kikuchi et al. (US/6,278,153), and Yoshihara (US/6,117,486), as applied in Paragraph 3 above, and further in view of Park et al. (US/6,326,282).

Re claim 12, as applied to claim 1 above, Kikuchi et al. and Yoshihara in combination disclose all the claimed limitations including the limitation except providing a shallow trench

Application/Control Number: 09/542,783

Art Unit: 2823

isolation structure with at least one recess comprising at least one trench formed in a surface of the shallow trench isolation structure.

Park et al. disclose forming of a shallow trench isolation structure with at least one recess comprising at least one trench formed in a surface of the shallow trench isolation structure in order to form an isolation region between the device elements (see Figs. 2B-2E).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant(s) claimed invention was made to have provided Kikuchi et al. and Yoshihara reference with shallow trench isolation structure as taught by Park et al. because the shallow trench isolation structure would have provided isolation region between device elements in the substrate.

Re claim 13, as applied to claim 12 above, Kikuchi et al., Yoshihara and Park et al. in combination disclose all the claimed limitations including the limitation wherein said disposing the material comprises disposing a mask material over said shallow trench isolation structure (see Park et al. Figs. 2B-2E).

Re claim 14, as applied to claim 12 above, both Kikuchi et al., Yoshihara and Park et al. in combination disclose all the claimed limitations including the limitation wherein said providing said shallow trench isolation structure comprises providing said shallow trench isolation structure with an insulator layer substantially filling said at least one trench and covering said surface see Park et al. Figs. 2B-2E).

Re claim 15, as applied to claim 14 above, both Kikuchi et al., Yoshihara and Park et al. in combination disclose all the claimed limitations including the limitation wherein said disposing the material comprises disposing a stress buffer over said insulator layer, said stress

Application/Control Number: 09/542,783 Page 7

Art Unit: 2823

buffer having a substantially planar surface without removing material thereof following said disposing see Park et al. Figs. 2B-2E).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicants' arguments filed on September 25, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The instant application is rejected in view of the Board's decision of July 25, 2006. As stated by the Board, the rejection of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 103 is deemed proper because the prior art of record provides sufficient evidence for the planar surface. (see Board's new ground of rejection of claim 1 in Page 12). In addition, the rejection of claims 2 and 8-17 is also consistent with the Board's recommendation based upon the examiner's determination of the prior art of record. After further consideration, as directed by the Board, there is sufficient evidence and teaching in the record that the combination of Kikuchi et al. '153 and Yoshihara '486 is provided planar surface and the rejection of claims 2 and 8-17 is deemed proper because the rejection of claim 1 is deemed proper as the Board determined.

Therefore, the *prima facie* case of obviousness has been met and the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 is deemed proper.

Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE NON-FINAL.

Correspondence

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brook Kebede whose telephone number is (571) 272-1862. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 Monday to Friday.

Application/Control Number: 09/542,783 Page 8

Art Unit: 2823

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew S. Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1907. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571) 273-8300 for regular communications and (571) 273-8300 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1950.

Brook Kebede Primary Examiner Art Unit 2823

BK October 29, 2006